IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	: CRIMINAL
v.	: :
MD MUNSER ALI	: NO. 25CR57-2
BAIL S	TATUS ORDER
After a hearing held this day pursuant to the otherwise indicated, the following ORDERS	
financial qualifications, IT IS ORDERED th	counsel. Following a colloquy on Defendant's nat the Motion is GRANTED. The [Federal torney from CJA Panel, Esq.], IS APPOINTED to
motion. The Motion is GRANTED, and De	ention and a continuance to prepare its detention efendant is detained pending a hearing on the on hearing and [arraignment/preliminary hearing] will
	ention and Defendant stipulated to detention. For the pts Defendant's stipulation, and the Motion is ding further proceedings.
☐Following a hearing, and for the refor Detention is GRANTED. Defendant is ☐Following a hearing, and for the removed Motion for Detention is DENIED. See attack ☐ ☐The Government and Defendant here.	easons stated on the record, the Government's Motion DETAINED pending further proceedings. easons stated on the record, the Government's
	ral or written Motion for Detention is DENIED as
•	-

□Following a hearing, the Court found <u>no</u> probable cause. The charges are DISMISSED. D. Arraignment/Brady
⊠Defendant pleaded Not Guilty to All Count(s). Parties have 14 days to file pretrial motions.
⊠The United States is obligated, pursuant to <i>Brady v. Maryland</i> , 373 U.S. 83 (1963), <i>Giglio v. United States</i> , 405 U.S. 150 (1972), and subsequent cases, to timely disclose information favorable to the defense as to criminal liability on the charged offense(s) or mitigation of any punishment that may be imposed. Such favorable information includes information that may cast doubt on the credibility of government witnesses. Possible consequences for violating this order include exclusion of evidence, dismissal of charges, contempt proceedings, disciplinary referral, and any other relief authorized by law.
E. Charges Arising in Non-Arrest District (Rule 5(c)(2)) □Defendant stipulated to identity, or
□Defendant was found to be the person named in the warrant, and □Defendant has no right to a preliminary hearing because he was indicted, or □Probable cause was found,
□Defendant has a right to a preliminary hearing, but elected to have the hearing in the charging district; and □Defendant was released or ordered detained in section B above, or
☐ The Government MOVED for Detention and Defendant elected to have his detention hearing in the charging district. The Government's Motion is GRANTED and Defendant is detained pending a detention hearing in the charging district.
\Box The Government \Box has <u>not</u> proved that defendant is the person named in the warrant. Defendant is RELEASED.
☐ The Court found <u>no</u> probable cause. The charges are DISMISSED. G. Violation of Bail Conditions (18 U.S.C. § 3148)
☐The Government's Motion to Revoke Bail is DENIED.
☐ See attached Conditions of Release Order, or ☐ Conditions of Release remain as previously set.
☐ The Government's Motion to Revoke Bail is GRANTED. Bail is REVOKED and Defendant is detained.
□Defendant is detained. □Defendant is detained pending a final revocation hearing before Judge [name.]
H. Other
□During the hearing the [Government /Defendant] MOVED to continue the [detention hearing/preliminary hearing/arraignment.] The motion is [GRANTED or DENIED.] The hearing will take place on [date.]
□Prior to the scheduled hearing, the court received a request by [telephone/email/letter] from the [Government/Defense] to continue the [detention hearing/preliminary

hearing/arraignment.] The request is treated as a MOTION, and upon consideration, IT IS ORDERED that the Motion is [GRANTED/DENIED.] The hearing will take place on [date.]

 $\hfill\Box$ The [Government/Defendant] MOVED for a competency assessment. The motion is [GRANTED/DENIED.] See separate order.

 \Box Following a hearing, Defendant was found [competent/not competent] to proceed to trial. See separate order.

⊠Other: An interpreter was present, and the defendant stated that he would ask for the interpreter's assitance if there was anything he did not understand. During the hearing, the defendant did not use the interpreter's services.

BY:

/s/Elizabeth T. Hey

ELIZABETH T. HEY United States Magistrate Judge Dated: 2/27/25

(Form revised 11/2024)